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#### Abstract

How many undersized fish actually survive the process of being discarded? This question is relevant because of the European landing obligation, which obliges fishers to land undersized fish that are subject to a quota. Wageningen Marine Research, together with the fishing industry, has investigated the chances of survival of flatfish and rays in pulse fishing. Which conclusions about the chances of survival can be drawn from the research?


## Why research into chances of survival of undersized fish?

As of 2019, the Common Fisheries Policy will oblige the fishing industry to land all undersized specimens of fish that are subject to a quota. Under the previous policy, fishers had to discard these fish. Some of the undersized fish survive the process of discarding and can contribute to the fish stock. This is not the case if the fish has to be brought to shore; in that case, all fish are certain to perish. The discards policy therefore includes a provision that states that an exemption may be made on the landing obligation for fish species with a high chance of survival. For this reason, knowledge is needed on the chances of survival of discarded fish.

## Research method

The research into the chances of survival of plaice, common sole, turbot, brill, thornback ray and spotted ray in the North Sea was carried out on board of three flatfish trawlers, each using a pulse trawl with 80 mm meshes and 12 m wide gears. Scientists of Wageningen Marine Research collected the undersized fish during regular fishing. A total of nine fishing trips was made, spread over the entire year and the Southern North Sea. In this way, final results are representative for the constantly changing conditions in which the fishing takes place, such as fluctuating water temperature, the type of fishing ground, and wind strength and direction. After examining reflexes and external characteristics of the fish, these were put in
special survival units and monitored daily. At the end of the fishing trip, the fish were brought to the laboratory for further monitoring until mortality ceased. Healthy control fish were taken on board. They were given the same treatment. This allows for a differentiation between mortality caused by fishing and mortality caused by research activities. The method used follows the guidelines for survival research developed by the International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (ICES).

## Number of undersized fish studied

In total, 558 undersized plaice, 274 common soles, 111 turbot, 90 brill, 99 thornback rays and 23 spotted rays were studied. The chances of survival were calculated based on the numbers of fish that were alive at the end of the observation period ( 15 to 18 days after catch). What were the results? First some explanations about the way the estimation of chances of survival is done.

## Estimation of chances of survival: how it is done

Variations in chances of survival between the fishing trips were found in all species. The actual chance of survival can only be determined if the scientists were to measure the survival of all discarded fish (the population). This is not possible, obviously. The chance of survival can only be measured for a small part of the discards (the sample). For each species, the chance of survival of discards is calculated based on the results of the nine fishing trips. The survival measured in the sample (nine trips) is an estimate of the true survival for all discards in a one-year round of pulse fishing. For plaice, this estimate is $14 \%$. This figure means that, based on the nine trips with three trawlers, Wageningen Marine Research estimates that 14\% of the total undersized plaice catch discarded by the entire pulse fleet fishing with 80 mm meshes and 12 m gears in an entire year survives.

The next question is, of course, how close this estimate is to the true (and unknown) survival chance, in other words: how reliable is this estimate? This question could only be answered if the scientists would know the true survival. But that is not known, as it would require Wageningen Marine Research to measure the survival of all undersized plaice, a feat that is practically impossible. What the scientists can do, however, is estimate an interval (or range) of the survival chance. To this end, they used a 95\% confidence interval (95\%CI). This means that the probability of the interval containing the true chance of survival is $95 \%$. To make it more concrete: for plaice, this $95 \%$ CI was estimated to be between 11 and $18 \%$. This means that the scientists are $95 \%$ confident that the interval of 11 to $18 \%$ contains the true chance of survival of plaice. The easiest way to interpret this, although it is technically not entirely correct, is to say that there is a $95 \%$ probability of the true chance of survival being between 11 and $18 \%$.
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## Chance of survival of plaice in 80 mm pulse fishery: 14\%

The study reveals that the chance of survival of undersized plaice in pulse fishing is $14 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI 11 $18 \%)$. A comparable study performed in 2015-2016 onboard pulse fishing vessels produced an initial indication of a $15 \%$ chance of survival of plaice of ( $95 \%$ CI 11-19\%) (Van der Reijden et al., 2017). The 95\% confidence intervals of both studies almost fully coincide. This means that the estimates for chances of survival of all plaice discards based on both studies do not differ. As the results for plaice from both studies agree and a large amount of undersized fish from catches spread over the year was monitored, it is concluded that the results found for plaice ( $14 \%$, $95 \%$ CI 11-18\%) are representative for the chance of survival in 80 mm pulse fishing with 12 m wide gears.

## Chance of survival of common sole in 80mm pulse fishery: 19\%

For undersized common sole, the chance of survival in pulse fishing is calculated to be $19 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI $13-28 \%$ ). This result is also representative for the chance of survival in 80 mm pulse fishing. It cannot be excluded that the chance of survival of sole might be higher than $19 \%$. The study that was performed in 2015-2016 produced an initial indication for the chance of survival of common sole of $29 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI $24-35 \%$ ) (Van der Reijden et al., 2017). However, this study had no measurements in winter. In the current study, measurements were performed in winter, and survival was very low in that period. This has consequences for the calculation of the for the entire year, which therefore becomes lower. The $19 \%$ in the current study is probably a better estimate. In order to get a more precise estimate, Wageningen Marine Research advises to combine the datasets of both studies.

Table 1 Chances of survival and 95\% confidence intervals ( $95 \%$ CI) for six fish species (undersized) in 80 mm pulse fishing (gear width 12 m ) in the North Sea

| Species | Survival rate | $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plaice | $14 \%^{1}$ | $11-18 \%$ |
| Common sole | $19 \%^{1}$ | $13-28 \%$ |
| Turbot | $30 \%^{2}$ | $20-43 \%$ |
| Brill | $13 \%^{2}$ | $7-23 \%$ |
| Thornback ray | $53 \%^{2}$ | $40-65 \%$ |
| Spotted ray | 21 and $67 \%^{2}$ | N.A. due to small sample |
| Status calculated survival rate: ${ }^{1}$ determined, ${ }^{2}$ indicative |  |  |
| Source: Schram \& Molenaar $(2018)$ |  |  |

## Indicative results turbot, brill, thornback ray and spotted ray

For turbot, brill, thornback ray and spotted ray, the calculated chances of survival are an initial indication. This is because the sample (the number of collected and monitored undersized specimens) was small. For turbot, Wageningen Marine Research found a chance of survival of $30 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI $20-43 \%$ ). For brill $13 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI $7-23 \%$ ) and for thornback ray $53 \%$ ( $95 \%$ CI $40-65 \%$ ). Spotted rays were only sampled on two trips, with the chances of survival on one trip being $21 \%$ and $67 \%$ on the other. Collecting additional data for these species will probably bring the extreme values of the confidence intervals closer together. This will allow for more precise estimates of the chances of survival. The expectation is that these more precise estimates will be within the range of the current $95 \%$ CI estimates; this means that future studies into the chances of survival of turbot in 80 mm pulse fishing will, with $95 \%$ certainty, not lead to a lower estimate than $20 \%$ nor a higher estimate than $43 \%$.

## Condition of the fish influences survival

The condition in which the fish is brought on board turns out to be of significant influence on the survival of undersized fish for all species studied. In that aspect, there are significant differences between the chances of survival of the fish in the best and worst conditions. However, the share of fish in the best condition was small in the total catch of undersized fish. This is the reason why the high survival in the best group only contributes a little to the chance of survival that was calculated across all undersized specimens caught. Measures to improve the chance of survival should therefore focus on improving the condition of undersized fish during capture. The research also shows that the processing time after catching has no effect on the condition of the fish nor on the survival. Wageningen Marine Research therefore recommends that the measures aimed at improving the condition of the undersized fish should focus on the catching process itself, instead of focusing on the processing of the catch.
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## Series of factsheets into fish survival research

This factsheet is part of a series of factsheets in which research into the chances of survival of flatfish and rays in pulse fishing are discussed. Other factsheets discuss the results of the research into the chances of survival of flatfish and rays; effects of modifications in catching and processing procedures on chances of survival; and the effects of the conditions under which fishing takes place on the survivability of flatfish and rays.

## Animal Experimentation Act

The treatment of the fish in this research project was in accordance with the Dutch Animal Experimentation Act, as approved by ethical committees (Experiment 2017
D0012.002).
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